

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/826,913	04/19/2004	Francis Bastow	H-598 2270 EXAMINER	
41245	7590 05/08/2006			
MARK LEVY & ASSOCIATES, PLLC PRESS BUILDING, SUITE 902			WATSON, ROBERT C	
	19 CHENANGO STREET BINGHAMTON, NY 13901		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BINGHAMT			3723	
			DATE MAILED: 05/08/2006	
		No.		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/826,913	BASTOW, FRANCIS			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Robert C. Watson	3723			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 Ap	<u>oril 2006</u> .				
/-					
· ·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>9-21</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.		-			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	r election requirement				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
222 2 2 2	,				
Attachment(s)	4) \[\] \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	v (RTO 413)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summan Paper No(s)/Mail D	Date			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/19/04.	5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/826,913

Art Unit: 3723

Applicant's remarks concerning the restriction requirements have been given careful consideration. Applicant's position that the apparatus, method, and the various species are "one invention". Is found to be untenable. The apparatus/method claims have been evidenced as being distinct and applicant has not refuted this with evidence. The various species have mutually exclusive characteristics and are not "one invention". It would present a serious burden to the Office to have to examine all these different inventions. The restriction requirement is deemed proper and is hereby made FINAL.

Claim 7 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. Claim 7 recites only details of an unclaimed clamp but the preamble of the claim is directed to a pad support per se. Since claim 7 recites no further details of the pad support the claim fails to further limit the subject matter of the previous claim.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-5 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticiapted by Suhr.

In Suhr (Figure 1 and Figure 6) is shown a planar member that supports an elongate member in a central aperture. The planar member has a hub about the

Art Unit: 3723

central aperture and lobes radiate from the hub. The Figure 1 embodiment includes ribs 20. The type of elongate member that is inserted in the central aperture is a matter of intended use that has no patentable significance. In any case the Suhr planar member is seen to be capable of receiving the elongate member of a bar clamp in the central aperture of the planar member inasmuch as bar clamps and their respective elongate members are available in a wide range of sizes and one could be found to match the size of the aperture in the Suhr planar member.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 6 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Suhr.

The number of lobes in Suhr is considered to be no more than an obvious matter of design choice. To reduce the number of lobes in Suhr such that three lobes exist is considered obvious. The number of lobes appears to be related to the length of the coiled elongate member that is to be supported. A shorter coiled elongate member would therefor obviously require less lobes and it would be obvious to provide only 3 lobes for a shorter coiled elongate member. The size of the central aperture is obviously directly related to the diameter of the elongate member and to select a size to match the diameter of the elongate member is obvious. One skilled in the art would be motivated to select an aperture size commensurate with the diameter of the coiled

Application/Control Number: 10/826,913 Page 4

Art Unit: 3723

elongate member. An aperture that would be about the same size as a bar clamp or a bar clamp coupling would be obvious since since bar clamps and bar clamp couplings are available in a wide range of sizes as are coiled elongate members. The pad aperture may obviously be any size.

Claims 9-21 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention/species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on 2/15/06 and 4/20/06.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert C. Watson whose telephone number is 571 272-4498. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. - Thurs., 5:30am - 4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph J. Hail III can be reached on 571 272-4485. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ROBERT C. WATSON PRIMARY EXAMINER